

# Problemen

| Problem Section

**Edition 2023-3** We received solutions from Rik Biel, Chris A.J. Klaassen, Thijmen Krebs, Lucía L. Pacios, Ana Pose, Andrés Ventas and Jan de Vries.

**Problem 2023-3/A**

Let  $n > 0$  be an integer and let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometry, i.e., a map such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| = |x - y|$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set such that  $\{\varphi(x) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq X$ . Show that if  $X$  is closed and bounded, then  $\{\varphi(x) \mid x \in X\} = X$ , and show that we can drop neither of these two assumptions.

**Solution** This problem was solved in a collaboration by Lucía L. Pacios and Ana Pose and Andrés Ventas. Moreover, it was solved by Rik Biel and Thijmen Krebs. Jan de Vries has proved a more general result: an isometry on a compact metric space is surjective.

Let  $y \in X$ . By continuity of  $\varphi$  it suffices to prove that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $|\varphi(x) - y| < \epsilon$ . By Bolzano–Weierstrass, there exist  $i > j$  such that  $|\varphi^i(y) - \varphi^j(y)| < \epsilon$ . Using that  $\varphi$  is an isometry, we then find that  $|\varphi^{i-j}(y) - y| < \epsilon$ . Now  $x = \varphi^{i-j-1}(y)$  suffices.

Consider  $X = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $X$  is closed but not bounded, and that  $x \mapsto x + 1$  is an isometry that maps  $X$  to  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .

Identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  and take  $X = \{\exp(ni) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ . Note that  $X$  is bounded but not closed, and that  $x \mapsto \exp(i) \cdot x$  is an isometry that maps  $X$  to  $X \setminus \{1\}$ .

**Problem 2023-3/B**

Let  $X$  be a normally distributed random variable and let  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Show that  $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(X \leq x + t \mid x \leq X)$  is an increasing function.

**Solution** This problem contained an error. Instead of ‘increasing’, it was stated ‘decreasing’. A solution for the problem was sent in by Thijmen Krebs. A more general result was proven by Chris A.J. Klaassen: instead of for normal distributed random variables, it has been proved for random variables with a strongly unimodal distribution.

First note that without loss of generality we may assume that  $X$  follows a standard normal distribution, and write  $f$  and  $F$  for its probability density and cumulative density function, respectively. It suffices to show that  $G(x) = F(x + t) / F(x)$  is a decreasing function, or equivalently, that

$$(\log G(x))' = \frac{f(x+t)F(x) - f(x)F(x+t)}{F(x)F(x+t)} < 0$$

for all  $x$ . Hence it suffices to show for all  $x$  that

$$H(x) = e^{-tx - \frac{1}{2}t^2} F(x) - F(x+t) < 0.$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-tx} F(x) = 0.$$

Clearly 0 is a lower bound. Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists some  $B < 0$  such that  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \leq \delta e^{tx}$  for all  $x < B$ . Hence

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \delta e^{tz} dz = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{tx}$$

for such  $x$ , so  $e^{-tx} F(x) \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  for  $x$  sufficiently small. Taking  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  we obtain the limit.

It follows from the claim that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} H(x) = 0$ . Since also

$$H'(x) = -te^{-tx - \frac{1}{2}t^2} F(x) + e^{-tx - \frac{1}{2}t^2} f(x) - f(x+t) = -te^{-tx - \frac{1}{2}t^2} F(x) < 0,$$

we conclude that  $H(x) < 0$  for all  $x$ .

**Problem 2023-3/C** (proposed by Hendrik Lenstra)

Let  $p = 2n + 1$  be an odd prime and consider the finitely presented group  $G$  with generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and for each  $0 < i, j, k \leq n$  such that  $ij = k$  or  $ij = p - k$  the relation  $x_i x_j = x_k$ . Show that  $G$  is a cyclic group of order  $n$ .

**Solution** A partial solution for this problem was given by Andrés Ventas.

We prove by induction on  $i$  the following statement:

$$\forall j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, (ij \equiv \pm k \pmod{p} \Rightarrow x_i x_j = x_j x_i = x_k).$$

This is trivial for  $i = 1$ . Now fix an integer  $1 < i \leq n$  and assume that the statement holds for smaller values of  $i$ . We prove the statement for  $i$ . Let  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $ij \equiv \pm k \pmod{p}$ . Consider the  $i$  sets  $\{hj, hj + 1, \dots, hj + \lfloor p/i \rfloor\}$  for  $h = 0, \dots, i - 1$ . Each of these sets contains  $\lfloor p/i \rfloor + 1$  elements, and as  $i \cdot (\lfloor p/i \rfloor + 1) > p$ , we find that the sets are not pairwise disjoint modulo  $p$ . It follows that there exist integers  $0 \leq h_1 < h_2 < i$  and an integer  $0 \leq \varepsilon < p/i$  for which  $h_2 j \equiv h_1 j \pm \varepsilon \pmod{p}$ , and  $h = h_2 - h_1$  then yields  $hj \equiv \pm \varepsilon \pmod{p}$ . Note that  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  since  $p$  is prime. By assumption we have  $x_i x_\varepsilon = x_{i\varepsilon}$  and by the induction hypothesis applied to  $h$  we know that  $x_h x_i = x_{ih} = x_i x_h$  and that  $x_h x_k = x_{i\varepsilon} = x_k x_h$ . This gives the following equalities

$$x_i x_j x_h = x_i x_\varepsilon = x_{i\varepsilon} = x_k x_h,$$

$$x_h x_j x_i = x_\varepsilon x_i = x_{i\varepsilon} = x_h x_k,$$

and thus  $x_i x_j = x_k = x_j x_i$  which completes the induction step. Now it follows that  $G \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p^* / \{\pm 1\}$  given by  $x_i \mapsto i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathbf{F}_p^*$  is cyclic of order  $2n$ , it follows that  $G$  is cyclic of order  $n$ .