**Problem Section** 

Redactie:

Gabriele Dalla Torre Christophe Debry Jinbi Jin Marco Streng Wouter Zomervrucht

Problemenrubriek NAW Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Postbus 9512 2300 RA Leiden

problems@nieuwarchief.nl www.nieuwarchief.nl/problems **Edition 2016-2** We received solutions from Hendrik Reuvers, Pieter de Groen en Toshihiro Shimizu.

## Problem 2016-2/A (folklore)

Denote for all positive rational numbers x by f(x) the minimum number of 1's needed in a formula for x involving only ones, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division and parentheses. For example, f(1) = 1, and  $f(\frac{1}{3}) = 4$ , as  $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{1+1+1}$  and as no such formula exists with at most three 1's. Note that  $f(11) \neq 2$  (concatenation of ones is not allowed). Moreover, denote for all positive rational numbers x by  $h_2(x)$  the number  $\log_2(p) + \log_2(q)$ , where  $\log_2$  denotes the base-2 logarithm, and where p, q are positive integers such that  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  and gcd(p,q) = 1.

Show that for all *x*, we have

 $f(x) > \frac{1}{2}h_2(x).$ 

**Solution** We received a solution from Toshihiro Shimizu, to whom the book token is awarded, and the solution of whom the following is based on.

Let x be a positive rational number, and let p, q be positive integers such that  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  and gcd(p,q) = 1. We show by induction on f(x) that  $p,q \leq 2^{f(x)-1}$ ; from this it will follow that  $2f(x) > 2f(x) - 2 \geq \log_2 p + \log_2 q = h_2(x)$ , as desired.

First note that x = 1 is the only rational number with f(x) = 1, and in this case  $p = q = 1 = 2^{f(x)-1}$ . So suppose that n is a positive integer and that for any x with  $f(x) \le n$  written as  $\frac{p}{q}$  with p, q positive integers with gcd(p,q) = 1, we have  $p,q \le 2^{f(x)-1}$ . Suppose that f(x) = n + 1. Then x can be written in one of the forms  $x_1 + x_2$ ,  $x_1 - x_2$ ,  $x_1 \cdot x_2$ ,  $\frac{x_1}{x_2}$  with  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  positive rational numbers with  $f(x_1) + f(x_2) = f(x) = n + 1$  (so that  $f(x_1), f(x_2) \le n$ ). Write  $x_i = \frac{p_i}{q_i}$  where  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are positive integers such that  $gcd(p_i, q_i) = 1$ , for i = 1, 2. If  $x = x_1 + x_2$  or  $x = x_1 - x_2$ , then we find that  $x = \frac{p_1 q_2 \pm p_2 q_1}{q_1 q_2}$ , so  $p,q \le 2^{1+f(x_1)-1+f(x_2)-1} = 2^n$ 

If  $x = x_1 + x_2$  or  $x = x_1 - x_2$ , then we find that  $x = \frac{p_1q_2 \pm p_2q_1}{q_1q_2}$ , so  $p,q \le 2^{1+f(x_1)-1+f(x_2)-1} = 2^n = 2^{f(x)-1}$ . If  $x = x_1 \cdot x_2$ , then we find that  $x = \frac{p_1p_2}{q_1q_2}$ , so  $p,q \le 2^{f(x_1)-1+f(x_2)-1} = 2^{n-1} < 2^{f(x)-1}$ . The same argument shows that if  $x = \frac{x_1}{x_2}$ , that then  $p,q < 2^{f(x)-1}$ . Hence we always have  $p,q \le 2^{f(x)-1}$ , and we are done.

## Problem 2016-2/B (folklore)

Suppose that there are  $N \ge 2$  players, labeled 1, 2, ..., N, and that each of them holds precisely  $m \ge 1$  coins of value 1, m coins of (integer) value  $n \ge 2$ , m coins of value  $n^2$ , et cetera. A *transaction* from player i to player j consists of player i giving a finite number of his coins to player j. We say that an N-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_N)$  of integers is (m, n)-payable if  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i = 0$  and after a finite number of transactions, the i-th player has received (in value)  $a_i$  more than he has given away.

Show that for every N-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_N)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i = 0$  to be (m, n)-payable, it is necessary and sufficient that  $m > n - \frac{n}{N} - 1$ .

**Solution** We received solutions from Pieter de Groen and Toshihiro Shimizu. The book token goes to Pieter de Groen. Both solutions shared the same idea, the following solution is based on that of Toshihiro Shimizu.

First observe that if an *N*-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is (m, n)-payable, the number of coins of value 1 that player *i* receives is  $a_i$  modulo *n*, since coins of higher value are of value divisible by *n*. Also observe that  $m > n - \frac{n}{N} - 1$  is equivalent to  $mN \ge (n - 1)(N - 1)$ .

Note that if  $m \le n - \frac{n}{N} - 1$  (so m < n), or equivalently, mN < (n-1)(N-1), then the tuple

$$(n-m-1, n-m-1, ..., n-m-1, -(N-1)(n-m-1))$$

is not (m,n)-payable; every player up to player N-1 has to either receive at least n-m-1 coins of value 1, or give away at least m+1 coins of value 1. The latter is clearly impossible. This means that the last player must give away at least (N-1)(n-m-1) coins of value 1. However, (N-1)(n-m-1) = (n-1)(N-1) - m(N-1) > m, so this is also impossible. Therefore the condition  $m > n - \frac{n}{N} - 1$  is necessary.

Next, we show that the condition  $m > n - \frac{n}{N} - 1$  is sufficient. Let  $(a_1, ..., a_N)$  be any *N*-tuple

of integers with  $\sum_{i} a_{i} = 0$ . Assume that  $m > n - \frac{n}{N} - 1$ . We show that  $(a_{1}, ..., a_{N})$  is (m, n)-payable, by induction on  $\max_{i} |a_{i}|$ .

If  $\max_i |a_i| \le 1$ , then all  $a_i$  lie in  $\{-1,0,1\}$ , and as the sum of the  $a_i$ 's is zero, the tuple is (m,n)-payable (by having every player with negative  $a_i$  pay one coin of value 1, and every player with positive  $a_i$  receive one coin of value 1). So assume that A > 1, and that all tuples  $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  with zero sum and  $\max_i |a_i| < A$  are (m, n)-payable.

Let  $(a_1, ..., a_N)$  be a tuple with  $\max_i |a_i| = A$ . Let  $r_i$  denote the remainder of  $a_i$  on division by n. We assume without loss of generality that  $n > r_1 \ge r_2 \ge \cdots \ge r_N \ge 0$ . Note that  $\sum_i r_i$  is a multiple of n, say  $\sum_i r_i = kn$ . We show that  $n - r_1, ..., n - r_k \le m$ , so that player i can pay  $n - r_i$  coins of value 1 for i = 1, 2, ..., k.

Note that

Solutions

$$kn = \sum_{i} r_{i} = (r_{1} + \dots + r_{k-1}) + (r_{k} + \dots + r_{N}) \le (N - k + 1)r_{k} + (k - 1)n.$$

Therefore  $\eta_k \geq \frac{n}{N-k+1} \geq \frac{n}{N}$ , so  $n - \eta_k = n - \frac{n}{N} < m + 1$ , from which we deduce that  $n - \eta_k \leq m$ , and therefore also that  $n - \eta_i \leq m$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., k. So by having, for i = 1, 2, ..., k, player i pay  $n - \eta_i$ , and for all other i, player i receive  $\eta_i$  (which is possible by the above and since  $\sum_i \eta_i = kn$ ), we see that the tuple  $(a_1, ..., a_N)$  is (m, n)-payable if  $(a_1 + n - \eta_1, ..., a_k + n - \eta_k, a_{k+1} - \eta_{k+1}, ..., a_N - \eta_N)$  is (m, n)-payable using only coins of value n or higher, and therefore if the tuple

$$(a'_1, \dots, a'_N) = \left(\frac{1}{n}(a_1 + n - r_1), \dots, \frac{1}{n}(a_k + n - r_k), \frac{1}{n}(a_{k+1} - r_{k+1}), \dots, \frac{1}{n}(a_N - r_N)\right)$$

is (m, n)-payable.

We finish the induction by showing that  $\max_i |a'_i| < A$ , so that by the induction hypothesis, the tuple  $(a'_1, ..., a'_N)$  is indeed (m, n)-payable. Note that  $a'_i = \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{n} \right\rfloor$  or  $a'_i = \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{n} \right\rfloor$ , so  $|a'_i| \le \left\lceil \frac{|a_i|}{n} \right\rceil$ . Since  $\left\lceil \frac{|a_i|}{n} \right\rceil \le |a_i|$  with equality if and only if  $|a_i| = 0$  or  $|a_i| = 1$ , it follows that  $|a'_i| < |a_i|$  for all i with  $|a_i| \ge 2$ . Since  $A \ge 2$ , it follows that  $\max_i |a'_i| < A$ , and we are done.

## Problem 2016-2/C (proposed by Wouter Zomervrucht)

 $S^n$ 

For each integer  $n \ge 1$  let  $c_n$  be the largest real number such that for any finite set of vectors  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\sum_{v \in X} |v| \ge 1$  there exists a subset  $Y \subseteq X$  with  $|\sum_{v \in Y} v| \ge c_n$ . Prove the recurrence relation

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad c_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2\pi n c_n}.$$

**Solution** We received solutions from Hendrik Reuvers and Toshihiro Shimizu. The book token is awarded to Hendrik Reuvers. Both solutions shared the same idea, the following solution is based on the one sent in by the proposer.

For  $n \ge 0$ , let  $D^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the closed unit ball and  $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  the unit sphere. Denote by  $v_n$  the volume of  $D^n$  and by  $s_n$  the surface area of  $S^n$ . We will show that  $c_n = v_{n-1}/s_{n-1}$ , then we are done by the relations  $v_0 = 1$ ,  $s_0 = 2$ ,  $v_n = \frac{1}{n}s_{n-1}$ , and  $s_n = 2\pi v_{n-1}$ .

First we make a computation. Let  $n \ge 1$  and write  $V_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n \ge 0\}$ . For r > 0 we let  $rD^n$  be the closed radius r ball, then one has

$$\int_{rD^n \cap V_+} x_n \, dx = \int_0^r x_n (r^2 - x_n^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} v_{n-1} \, dx_n = \frac{v_{n-1}}{n+1} r^{n+1},$$

S0

$$\int_{rD^{n} \cap V_{+}} x_{n} \, dx = \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \int_{rD^{n} \cap V_{+}} x_{n} \, dx \right]_{r=1} = v_{n-1}.$$

Now we turn to the problem. Take  $n \ge 1$  and any collection  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\sum_{v \in X} |v| \ge 1$ . Let  $Y \subseteq X$  be a subset for which the subsum  $w = \sum_{v \in Y} v$  has maximal norm. Then Y must contain all  $v \in X$  with  $v \cdot w > 0$ , and no  $v \in X$  with  $v \cdot w < 0$ . In fact, |w| = m(w/|w|), where for any  $x \in S^{n-1}$  we define

Solutions

$$m\left(x\right)=\sum_{v\,\in\,X\text{ with }v\,\cdot\,x\,\geq\,0}v\,\cdot\,x.$$

It follows that  $|w| = \max_{x \in S^{n-1}} m(x)$ . For  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  set  $V_v = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : v \cdot x \ge 0\}$ . (For instance,  $V_+ = V_{(0,...,0,1)}$ .) We compute

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} m(x) \, dx = \sum_{v \in X} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap V_v} v \cdot x \, dx = \sum_{v \in X} |v| \int_{S^{n-1} \cap V_+} x_n \, dx \ge v_{n-1}.$$

Thus there is  $x \in S^{n-1}$  where  $m(x) \ge v_{n-1}/s_{n-1}$ , hence  $c_n \ge v_{n-1}/s_{n-1}$ .

Conversely, let *X* consist of *k* vectors with lengths 1/k and directions distributed homogeneously over  $S^{n-1}$ . (There are several ways of doing this; thanks to Toshihiro Shimizu for pointing out [1].) As  $k \to \infty$ , the associated function *m* converges uniformly to a constant function; by the computations above, its constant value is  $v_{n-1}/s_{n-1}$ . So also  $c_n \leq v_{n-1}/s_{n-1}$ .

## Reference

1 Eric W. Weisstein, Sphere Point Picking, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/SpherePointPicking.html.