Problemen

| Problem Section

Edition 2015-4 We received solutions from Johan Commelin and Raymond van Bommel, Alex Heinis, Pieter de Groen, Alex Heinis, Thijmen Krebs, Hendrik Reuvers and Martijn Weterings.

Problem 2015-4/A (folklore)

Let n be a positive integer. Given a $1\times n$-chessboard made out of paper, one is allowed to fold it along grid lines, and in such a way that the end result is a flat rectangle, say $1\times m$. For example, the following figure shows side views of valid ways of folding a 1×7-chessboard (gray lines depict white squares).

Let a_i for $i=1,2,\dots,m$ be the number of black squares under the i-th square of the resulting rectangle, and consider the tuple $(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_m)$. So in our examples, the respective corresponding tuples are $(1,1,1)$ and $(2,1,1)$.
Show that for any positive integer m the m-tuple $(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_m)$ of non-negative integers can be obtained via the above process if and only if for all $i,j\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}$ such that $i+j$ is odd, we have $(a_i,a_j)\neq(0,0)$.

Solution We received solutions from Pieter de Groen, Thijmen Krebs, Hendrik Reuvers and Martijn Weterings. The book token goes to Martijn Weterings, whose solution the following is based on.
We first show that any tuple $(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_m)$ obtained by the following process must satisfy $(a_i,a_j)\neq(0,0)$ for all $i,j\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}$ such that $i+j$ is odd.
Draw an arrow facing right on the bottom edge of each square of the $1\times n$-chessboard. For all $s\in\{1,2,\dots,n\}$, define

$$b_s=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if the } s\text{-th square is white}\\ -1 & \text{if the } s\text{-th square is black}\end{cases}$$
$$c_s=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if the } s\text{-th square is on an even square of the resulting } 1\times m\text{—rectangle}\\ -1 & \text{if the } s\text{-th square is on an odd square of the resulting } 1\times m\text{—rectangle}\end{cases}$$
$$d_s=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if the arrow on the } s\text{-th square points to the right after folding}\\ -1 & \text{if the arrow on the } s\text{-th square points to the left after folding}\end{cases}$$

Note that $b_sc_sd_s$ is independent of s, since b_sb_{s+1} is always -1, and exactly one of c_sc_{s+1} and d_sd_{s+1} is -1, depending on whether there is a fold between the s-th square and the $(s+1)$-th square or not.
As there is a connected strip of squares connecting the left edge and the right edge of the resulting $1\times m$-rectangle, it follows that there is a direction such that above each square of the $1\times m$-rectangle there is an arrow pointing in that direction. Now suppose for a contradiction that there exist $i,j\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}$ such that $i+j$ is odd and $(a_i,a_j)=(0,0)$. Then there are two white squares s, t above i, j, respectively, that have arrows pointing in the same direction. Hence $b_s=b_t=1$ and $d_s=d_t$. Moreover, we have $c_s=-c_t$ as $i+j$ is odd. But this contradicts $b_sc_sd_s=b_tc_td_t$. Hence for all $i,j\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}$ such that $i+j$ is odd, we have $(a_i,a_j)\neq(0,0)$.
Now it remains to show that if $(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_m)$ is such that for all $i,j\in\{1,2,\dots,m\}$ such that $i+j$ is odd, it holds that $(a_i,a_j)\neq(0,0)$, then it can be obtained via the process described in the problem. We only treat the case that all i with $a_i=0$ are *even* and that the chessboard starts with a *black* square; the other three cases are similar.
In this case, we are done by the following greedy algorithm.

- Take $n=2(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i)-1$, and as before, draw an arrow pointing to the right on the bottom edge of each square.
- Place the first (black) square over the first square of the $1\times m$-rectangle with an arrow pointing to the right.

Problemenrubriek NAW
Mathematisch Instituut
Universiteit Leiden
Postbus 9512
2300 RA Leiden

problems@nieuwarchief.nl
www.nieuwarchief.nl/problems

- Repeatedly fold until there are a_1 black squares lying over the first square, and there is a white square above the second square of the $1 \times m$-rectangle. Note that this is possible as by assumption we have $a_1 \neq 0$. The arrow on the white square is pointing to the right.
- Repeatedly fold until there are a_2 black squares above the second square of the $1 \times m$-rectangle, and there is a black square above the third square of the $1 \times m$-rectangle. The arrow on this square is pointing to the right.
- Repeat the previous two steps alternatingly for the remainder of the squares.

Problem 2015-4/B (proposed by Jinbi Jin)

Let A be a commutative ring with unit, and let I be an ideal of A with $I \neq 0$ and $I^2 = 0$. Let B be the ring of which the elements are triples (a_1, a_2, a_3) where $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ are such that $a_1 + I = a_2 + I = a_3 + I$, with coordinate-wise addition and multiplication. Show that there exist at least four distinct ring homomorphisms $B \to A$.

Solution We received solutions from Johan Commelin and Raymond van Bommel, Alex Heinis and Thijmen Krebs. The book token goes to Johan Commelin and Raymond van Bommel. All received solutions are similar, and the following is based on those.

First note that for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have the following ring homomorphism.

$$f_i : B \to A,\ (a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto a_i$$

Moreover, define

$$g : B \to A,\ (a_1, a_2, a_3) \mapsto a_1 - a_2 + a_3.$$

Note that g is a homomorphism as it is additive, $g(1,1,1) = 1$, and for all $(a_1, a_2, a_3), (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in B$ we have that, using that $I^2 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(a_1,a_2,a_3)\,g(b_1,b_2,b_3) &= (a_1 - a_2 + a_3)(b_1 - b_2 + b_3) \\ &= a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 - a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 + a_1b_3 + 2a_2b_2 + a_3b_1 - a_2b_3 - a_3b_2 \\ &= a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 + (a_2 - a_1)(b_2 - b_3) + (a_2 - a_3)(b_2 - b_1) \\ &= a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 \\ &= g(a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that these homomorphisms are all distinct, by considering the images of $(i,0,0)$, $(0,i,0)$, $(0,0,i)$ for any non-zero $i \in I$.

Problem 2015-4/C (proposed by Hendrik Lenstra)

Does there exist a non-trivial abelian group A that is isomorphic to its automorphism group?

Solution We received a solution from Alex Heinis. The book token goes to Alex Heinis, whose solution the following is based on.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_3$ denote the ring of 3-adic integers. We show that $A = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ is isomorphic to its automorphism group.

We will use the following well-known fact about $\mathbb{Z}_3$: the map $\exp : 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \to 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ defined by $x \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n$ is a group isomorphism (note that the target group is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_3^*$).

We first show that $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ are isomorphic. Suppose that $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$. As $\mathbb{Z}_3$ has trivial torsion, it follows that $(1,0)$ is the only element in A of order 2. Therefore $\sigma(1,0) = (1,0)$. Moreover, note that 2 is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_3$, so for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ we have $\sigma(0,x) = \sigma(0, 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}x) = 2\sigma(0, \frac{1}{2}x)$, which is an element of $\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. So any automorphism of A sends $\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ to itself; this defines a homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(A) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

This map has an inverse which sends a $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ to the automorphism of A given by $(s,x) \mapsto (s, \sigma(x))$. It follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

Next, we show that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3^*$ are isomorphic. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Then first note that for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(x) = x\sigma(1)$. We claim that $\sigma(x) = x\sigma(1)$ holds for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and any $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $\sigma(3^e x) = 3^e \sigma(x)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(3^e x) = 3^e \sigma^{-1} x$.

It follows that σ preserves the number of factors 3 that occur in elements of $\mathbb{Z}_3$, and therefore in particular that $\sigma(1)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_3$. So now suppose that $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, and write $x = x_0 + x_1 \cdot 3 + x_2 \cdot 3^2 + \cdots$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(x) &= \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_i 3^i\right) + 3^k \sigma\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} x_i 3^{i-k}\right)\\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_i 3^i\right)\sigma(1) + 3^k \sigma\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} x_i 3^{i-k}\right).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\sigma(x) = x\sigma(1)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.
Hence we obtain a homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(A) \to \mathbb{Z}_3^*$ sending σ to $\sigma(1)$; this map is an isomorphism with inverse sending $a \in \mathbb{Z}_3^*$ to the automorphism $x \mapsto ax$. Therefore $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3^*$.
Now note that $\mathbb{Z}_3^*$ has subgroups $\{\pm 1\}$ and $1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ such that every element $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3^*$ can be written uniquely as sy with $s = \pm 1$ and $y \in 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_3^*$ is isomorphic to $\{\pm 1\} \oplus (1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3)$; the latter factor is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3$ via the map $\mathbb{Z}_3 \to 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$, $x \mapsto \exp(3x)$, as desired.