

**Edition 2006/2**

For Session 2006/2 We received submissions from Toon Brans, Ruud Jeurissen, Jaap Spies, and Peter Vandendriessche.

**Problem 2006/2-A** Prove or disprove the following:

In a  $9 \times 9$  Sudoku-square one randomly places the numbers  $1 \dots 8$ . There is at least one field such that if any one of the numbers  $1 \dots 9$  is placed there, the Sudoku-square can be filled in to a (not necessarily unique) complete solution.

**Solution** This problem was solved by Toon Brans, Ruud Jeurissen, and Peter Vandendriessche. Their solutions were comparable.

We disprove the statement as follows: If the numbers  $1 \dots 8$  are placed on the main diagonal from the top left corner towards the bottom right, there is no field where a number between 1 and 8 can be placed without giving a contradiction. In the first row the 1 cannot be placed, in the second row no 2 is possible,  $\dots$ , in the eighth row no 8. This is the case for the columns as well. In the field in the lower right corner no 8 is possible.

**Problem 2006/2-B** Imagine a flea circus consisting of  $n$  boxes in a row, numbered  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . In each of the first  $m$  boxes there is one flea ( $m \leq n$ ). Each flea can jump forward to boxes at a distance of at most  $d = n - m$ . For all fleas all  $d+1$  jumps have the same probability.

The director of the circus has marked  $m$  boxes as special targets. On his sign all  $m$  fleas jump simultaneously (no collisions).

1. Calculate the probability that after the jump exactly  $m$  boxes are occupied.
2. Calculate the probability that all  $m$  marked boxes are occupied.

**Solution** No solutions were sent in. The solution below is based on that of the proposer Jaap Spies.

*Part 1*

The jumps of the fleas correspond to a bipartite graph  $G$ . The possible jumps of the fleas can be coded in a  $(0,1)$ -matrix  $B$  of size  $m$  by  $n$ , with  $b_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $i \leq j \leq i + d$ . The total number of jumps with exactly  $m$  boxes occupied is the same as the number of injective maps  $\pi : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  for which  $b_{i\pi(i)} = 1$  for all  $i$ . This number equals  $\text{per}(B)$ , the permanent of  $B$ , see [1], p. 44. The probability that after the jump exactly  $m$  boxes are occupied is therefore  $\text{per}(B)/(d+1)^m$ .

*Part 2*

Let  $A$  be the set of marked boxes, then  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  is a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m \leq n$  and  $0 < m \leq n$ . A successful jump of the fleas can be associated with a bijection  $\pi : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow A$  such that  $i \leq \pi(i) \leq i + d$  for all  $i$ . The number of such successful jumps is equal to the permanent of the  $(0,1)$ -matrix  $C$ , of size  $m$  by  $m$ , defined by  $c_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $i \leq a_j \leq i + d$ . The probability that after the jump the  $m$  marked boxes are occupied is  $\text{per}(C)/(d+1)^m$ .

*References*

- [1] Brualdi, H.J. Ryser, Combinatorial Matrix Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1991.  
 [2] The Dancing School Problems: <http://www.jaapspies.nl/mathfiles/problems.html>

**Problem 2006/2-C** We are given two measurable spaces  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  plus a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We are also given a real-valued function  $f$  on  $X \times Y$  that is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  (generated by the family  $\{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ ). Furthermore, each horizontal section  $f_y$  is measurable on  $X$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Prove or disprove:  $f$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ .

**Solution** No solutions were sent in. The solution below is based on that of the proposer Klaas Pieter Hart.

Here is a counterexample. Let  $X = Y = \omega_1$ , the first uncountable ordinal. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$ , the power set. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra that consists of the countable subsets of  $\omega_1$  and those with countable complements.

The set  $L = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  but not to  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Its horizontal sections of  $L$  are countable — they are of the form  $[0, \beta]$  — and therefore they belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . This means that the characteristic function of  $L$  is a counterexample.

*L belongs to  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$*

To show that  $L$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  we construct a sequence  $\langle A_n \times B_n : n \in \mathbf{N} \rangle$  of rectangles such that  $L = \bigcap_m \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n \times B_n$ . This is done quite indirectly.

By recursion we construct a sequence  $\langle X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  of infinite subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$  with the following property: if  $\beta < \alpha$  then  $X_\alpha \setminus X_\beta$  is finite and  $X_\beta \setminus X_\alpha$  is infinite — we abbreviate this as  $X_\alpha \subset^* X_\beta$ . For  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we write  $Y_\alpha = \mathbf{N} \setminus X_{\alpha+1}$  and we observe that the two sequences obtained in this way satisfy

$$\alpha \leq \beta \text{ if and only if } X_\alpha \cap Y_\beta \text{ is infinite.} \quad (*)$$

From the  $X_\alpha$  and  $Y_\alpha$  we define  $A_n = \{\alpha : n \in X_\alpha\}$  and  $B_n = \{\alpha : n \in Y_\alpha\}$ . The

equivalence (\*) is translated in terms of the  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  reads

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in L \text{ if and only if } (\alpha, \beta) \in A_n \times B_n \text{ infinitely often.}$$

But this means  $L = \bigcap_m \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n \times B_n$ , as promised.

It remains to construct the  $X_\alpha$ . Start with  $X_0 = \mathbf{N}$ . At successor stages let  $X_{\alpha+1}$  be the even numbered elements of  $X_\alpha$  (in its monotone enumeration). If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal enumerate  $\{\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$  in a simple sequence  $\{\beta_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  and recursively let  $x_n$  be the minimum of  $\bigcap_{i \leq n} X_{\beta_n} \setminus \{x_i : i < n\}$ ; then let  $X_\alpha = \{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ .

*L does not belong to  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$*

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the family of those subsets  $Z$  of  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$  for which one can find an ordinal  $\alpha$  and a subset  $A$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $Z \cap ([\alpha, \omega_1) \times \omega_1) = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times A$ . We show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains all rectangles  $C \times B$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ; this implies  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Clearly  $L$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{D}$  and hence not to  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ .

**$\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra** Clearly  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

If  $Z$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$  then so does its complement  $Z^c$ : if  $Z \cap ([\alpha, \omega_1) \times \omega_1) = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times A$  then  $Z^c \cap ([\alpha, \omega_1) \times \omega_1) = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times A^c$ .

Let  $\langle Z_n \rangle_n$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ , with associated ordinals  $\alpha_n$  and sets  $A_n$ . Let  $\alpha = \sup_n \alpha_n$  and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ ; then  $\bigcup_n Z_n \cap ([\alpha, \omega_1) \times \omega_1) = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times A$ , so  $\bigcup_n Z_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Every rectangle  $C \times B$ , with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$**  If  $C$  is countable let  $\alpha$  be such that  $C \subseteq [0, \alpha)$  then  $C \times B \cap ([\alpha, \omega_1) \times \omega_1) = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times \emptyset$ .

If  $C$  is co-countable let  $\alpha$  be such that  $C^c \subseteq [0, \alpha)$  then  $C \times B \cap ([\alpha, \omega_1) \times \omega_1) = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times B$ .

*Remarks*

In fact one has  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . To see this let  $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ , with associated ordinal  $\alpha$  and set  $A$ . Now observe that  $Z$  is the union of  $[\alpha, \omega_1) \times A$  and  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \{\beta\} \times Z_\beta$ , where  $Z_\beta$  denotes  $\{\gamma : (\beta, \gamma) \in Z\}$ . This expresses  $Z$  as a countable union of rectangles from  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ .

In Kunen's thesis [1] it is shown that, in fact,  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  is the whole power set of  $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$ ; the proof that  $L$  belongs to the algebra is a simplification, for this special case, of Kunen's argument. The algebras  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are quite far apart and rather extreme. I do not know what the answer is for more familiar  $\sigma$ -algebras. Specifically: can one prove that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -measurable when both  $X$  and  $Y$  both are the real line,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue-measurable sets and  $\mathcal{C}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets?

*Reference*

[1] Kunen, Kenneth, *Inaccessibility properties of cardinals*, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 1968.