

Edition 2005/4

For Session 2005/4 we received submissions from Peter Vandendriessche, Vladislav Frank, Arne Smeets, Jan van de Lune, en P.G. Kluit.

Problem 2005/4-A We have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = 1$. Consequently partial sums must satisfy

$$\sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} < 1.$$

Show that for every $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $0 < q < 1$, there exists a finite subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = q.$$

Solution This problem was solved by Peter Vandendriessche, Vladislav Frank and Arne Smeets. The solution below is based on that of Vladislav Frank.

First note that $\frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}$. Hence $\frac{1}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} + \dots + \frac{1}{(k+n-1)(k+n)} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} - \dots + \frac{1}{k+n-1} - \frac{1}{k+n} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+n}$. Consequently it suffices to represent every rational number between 0 and 1 as $\frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots - \frac{1}{a_{2k}}$, where $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \dots \leq a_{2k}$. If two consecutive numbers are equal, they simply cancel out, so we allow equal numbers. This will be useful in final step of proof.

Let $\frac{a}{b}$ be our rational number. There is a natural number n such that $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Consider $x = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{a}{b} = \frac{b-an}{bn}$. The numerator of this fraction is non-negative because $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{1}{n}$, but less than a , the numerator of $\frac{a}{b}$, because $b - a(n+1) < 0$.

We have $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{n} - x$. We now apply the same algorithm to x . Let m be a natural number such that $\frac{1}{m+1} < x \leq \frac{1}{m}$. The claim is that $m \geq n$. Namely, $x = \frac{b-an}{bn} < \frac{a}{bn} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$, hence $m \geq n^2 + 1 > n$.

If we continue this algorithm, we obtain $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{a_1} - (\frac{1}{a_2} - (\frac{1}{a_3} - (\dots - (\frac{1}{a_x}) \dots)))$. Notice that the algorithm can only be repeated finitely many times, as the numerator decreases at each step. We now have $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_3} - \dots \pm \frac{1}{a_x}$. If x is even we are done.

In other case we may assume that $a_x > a_{x-1}$ and change $\frac{1}{a_x}$ into $\frac{1}{a_x-1} - \frac{1}{a_x(a_x-1)}$. Here $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{x-1} \leq a_x - 1 \leq a_x(a_x - 1)$ and we are done. Of course $a_x \neq 1$, as otherwise $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$ which is impossible.

As a generalization, V. Frank shows that for any irrational number in the interval $[0,1]$ there exists an infinite sum.

Problem 2005/4-B We consider the progressive arithmetic and geometric means of the function sequence $f_n(x) = x^{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}, x > 0, x \neq 1$. These are

$$A_n = A_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}(1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{n-1}) = \frac{x^n - 1}{n(x - 1)}$$

and

$$G_n = G_n(x) = (x^{1+2+\dots+(n-1)})^{\frac{1}{n}} = x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

The *Martins-property* reads $A_{n+1}/A_n \geq G_{n+1}/G_n$. In our case this gives

$$\frac{n}{n+1} \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x^n - 1} \geq \sqrt{x}.$$

Prove, more generally, that

$$\frac{a}{a+1} \frac{x^{a+1} - 1}{x^a - 1} \geq \sqrt{x} \text{ for } a > -\frac{1}{2}, x > 0, x \neq 1.$$

Solution This problem was solved by Jan van de Lune, Peter Vandendriessche, Vladislav Frank and Arne Smeets. The solution below is based on that of Peter Vandendriessche.

Let $f(t)$ be a (smooth) non-negative function that is convex on $[a, b]$ and let $[x, y] \subset [a, b]$ such that $x + y = a + b$. We then have

$$\frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{b-a} \geq \frac{\int_x^y f(t) dt}{y-x}.$$

To prove this, consider, for given $f(t)$, x , and y , the function $g(t)$ defined by

$$g(t) = f(x) + \frac{(f(y) - f(x))(t-x)}{y-x}.$$

$g(t)$ is the line through the points $(x, f(x))$ and $(y, f(y))$. Notice that the convexity of f gives $\int_x^y g(t) dt \geq \int_x^y f(t) dt$. Let

$$h(t) = g(t) - \int_x^y g(t) dt + \int_x^y f(t) dt,$$

then

$$\int_x^y h(t) dt = \int_x^y f(t) dt.$$

By convexity we have $f(t) \geq g(t) \geq h(t)$ for $t \in [a, x] \cap [y, b]$. Since $h(t)$ is the equation of a line and $x + y = a + b$, we have

$$\frac{\int_a^b h(t) dt}{b-a} = \frac{\int_x^y h(t) dt}{y-x}.$$

Combining these results we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_a^b f(t) dt}{b-a} &= \frac{\int_a^x f(t) dt}{b-a} + \frac{\int_y^b f(t) dt}{b-a} + \frac{\int_x^y f(t) dt}{y-x} \\ &\geq \frac{\int_a^x h(t) dt}{b-a} + \frac{\int_y^b h(t) dt}{b-a} + \frac{\int_x^y h(t) dt}{y-x} \\ &= \frac{\int_a^b h(t) dt}{b-a} = \frac{\int_x^y f(t) dt}{y-x}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem B is a special case of this result. For $x \in \mathbf{R}_0^+$, $x \neq 1$, let $f(t) = x^t$. Then $f''(t) = x^t \log^2(x) \geq 0$. Therefore $f(t)$ is convex. We have to distinguish two cases:

– $a \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$. Then $0 < a + \frac{1}{2} < a + 1$. Apply the lemma to the interval $[a + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \subset [0, a + 1]$.

– $a \in (0, \infty)$. Then $0 < \frac{1}{2} < a + \frac{1}{2} < a + 1$. Apply the lemma to the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, a + \frac{1}{2}] \subset [0, a + 1]$.

Notice that in the first case the sign in both numerator and denominator changes on the right side of the equation:

$$\frac{\int_0^{a+1} x^t dt}{a+1} \geq \frac{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{a+\frac{1}{2}} x^t dt}{a},$$

from which we can deduce

$$\frac{a}{a+1} \cdot \frac{x^{a+1} - 1}{\sqrt{x} \cdot (x^a - 1)} \geq 1.$$

It is easy to prove the generalization

$$\frac{z-y}{a-b} \cdot \frac{x^{b-a} - 1}{x^{z-y} - 1} \geq x^{y-a},$$

where $y + z = a + b$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < a < y < z < b$.

Problem 2005/4-C A *finite geometry* is a geometric system that has only a finite number of points. For an *affine plane geometry*, the axioms are as follows:

1. Given any two distinct points, there is exactly one line that includes both points.
2. The parallel postulate: Given a line L and a point P not on L , there exists exactly one line through P that is parallel to L .
3. There exists a set of four points, no three collinear.

Oplossingen

We denote the set of points by \mathbf{P} , and the set of lines by \mathbf{L} . Let σ be an automorphism of (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}) (meaning that three collinear points of \mathbf{P} are mapped onto three collinear points of \mathbf{P} and three non-collinear points of \mathbf{P} are mapped onto three non-collinear points of \mathbf{P}). Prove that there exists a point $P \in \mathbf{P}$ with $\sigma(P) = P$ or a line $L \in \mathbf{L}$ with $\sigma(L) = L$ or $\sigma(L) \cap L = \emptyset$.

Solution This problem has been solved by Leendert Bleijenga and Peter Vandendriessche. The solution below is based on their solutions. First we will prove the following lemma:

Lemma. *Let $M, L \in \mathbf{L}$, then $|M| = |L|$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|M \cap L| > 1$ then $M = L$. Therefore we may assume that $|M \cap L| = 1$. Let $|M| = m$ and $|L| = l$. By Axiom 3 we know that there exists a $P \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $P \notin L$ and $P \notin M$. Through P we can construct 1 line parallel to L and l lines that intersect L in its l points. In the same way we can construct, through P , 1 line parallel to M and m lines that intersect M in its m points. Let us now determine the number of lines through P ; this equals $l + 1$ and $m + 1$. If $|M \cap L| = 0$, pick points $a \in L$ and $b \in M$. Let N be the line through a and b . Then by the previous argument $|L| = |N|$ and $|M| = |N|$. \square

We conclude that all lines consist of an equal number of points, say s .

Lemma. $|\mathbf{P}| < |\mathbf{L}|$.

Proof. Let $|\mathbf{P}| = p$ and $|\mathbf{L}| = l$. Every two points define a line, and there are $\frac{1}{2}p(p - 1)$ pairs of points. Each line has s points and is counted $\frac{1}{2}s(s - 1)$ times. Therefore $l = \frac{p(p-1)}{s(s-1)}$. In order to show that $p < l$ we have to prove that $s(s - 1) < p - 1$ or $p > s^2 - s + 1$. The third axiom tells us that there exist three non-collinear points $a, b, c \in \mathbf{P}$. Let L be the line through a and b , M the line through a and c . By the parallel postulate, through every point on L there is exactly one line parallel to M . Starting with s points on L , we find s lines, all consisting of s points. Therefore $p \geq s^2$. \square

Suppose that $\sigma(p) \neq p$, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}$, and that $\sigma(L) \neq L$ and $\sigma(L) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ for all $L \in \mathbf{L}$. Consider the function $\mu : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ given by $\mu(L) = \sigma(L) \cap L$. μ is well defined since $\sigma(L) \cap L$ is always a unique point. Now suppose that $\mu(L) = \mu(M)$ or $\sigma(L) \cap L = \sigma(M) \cap M = p$, and $\sigma(q) = p$. Then $q \in L$ and $q \in M$. We know that $q \neq p$. Therefore $L = M$ and μ is injective. However, if μ is injective, then $|\mathbf{P}| \geq |\mathbf{L}|$, which contradicts the previous lemma.

Problem 2005/4-* We have $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 1/k^2 = (\pi^2/6) - 1$. Consequently partial sums must satisfy

$$\sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1.$$

Given any $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ satisfying $0 < q < (\pi^2/6) - 1$, does there exist a finite subset $K \subseteq \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$ so that

$$\sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{k^2} = q?$$

Solution This problem was solved by P.G. Kluit. The solution below is based on his solution.

Let $q = \sum k_i^{-2}$, where k_i are different integers. Let m be the least common multiple of all k_i in the sum. For each such k_i a number k'_i exists such that $k_i k'_i = m$. Then $q = \frac{1}{m^2} \cdot \sum (k'_i)^2$, that is, q can be written as a fraction with denominator m^2 and the numerator a sum of squares of different divisors of m . This raises the question: given m , which numbers can be written as sums of squares of different divisors of m ? We will show that for highly composite numbers m , more specifically $m = n!$, the answer will be that sufficiently many integers can be written as sums of squares to prove the problem.

Lemma. Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer and let $3 = d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_m = n!/3$ be all divisors of $n!$ between 3 and $n!/3$. Then $2d_k^2 > d_{k+1}^2$ for $1 \leq k < m$.

Proof. Let us prove this by induction. For $n = 5$ the divisors d_1, \dots, d_{12} are 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 20, 24, 30, and 40. It is easy to verify the lemma.

We assume the lemma is true for n . We have to prove that the Lemma holds for the divisors of $(n+1)!$. The divisors of $(n+1)!$ that are less than $n!/3$ clearly satisfy the lemma. Even though there may be more divisors, this cannot influence the inequality. Suppose that d_k and d_{k+1} are two successive divisors of $(n+1)!$, with $n!/3 \leq d_k < d_{k+1} \leq (n+1)!/3$. Let $d_k d'_k = d_{k+1} d'_{k+1} = (n+1)!$. Then d'_{k+1} and d'_k are two successive divisors of $(n+1)!$ with $3 \leq d'_{k+1} < d'_k \leq 3(n+1)$. As for $n \geq 5$ we have $3(n+1) < n!/3$, this suffices to conclude the proof. \square

Lemma. Let $n \in [129, 256]$ be an integer. Then n can be represented as a sum of different squares $d_1^2 + \dots + d_k^2$, where $1 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_k \leq 10$.

Proof. The proof can be found by the enumeration of 128 representations. There is a slightly shorter proof which will be left to the reader. \square

Lemma. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 11$. Then every integer $x \in [129, \sigma_2(n!) - n! - 129]$ can be represented as $x = \sum d_k^2$, where the d_k are different divisors of $n!$. Here $\sigma_m(x) = \sum_{d|x} d^m$.

Proof. Let $L_{kn} = [129, t]$ be the longest interval in $[129, \infty)$ whose integers can all be represented as a sum of different squares of some of the first k divisors of $n!$. Let $l_{kn} = |L_{kn}|$, the length of the interval. In the proof the notation will be abbreviated to $l_k = |L_k|$ if it is clear which n is meant.

In the second lemma we saw that $l_{10} = 128$. Notice that $l_{11} = 249 (= 128 + 121)$. Any $x \leq 256$ is represented by the divisors lesser than or equal to 10, while the integers $257 \leq x \leq 377$ are represented using 11^2 .

We will show in general that $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$ by induction, as long as $d_{k+1} < n!/2$. The proof will be given in two steps. In the first step we prove that $2d_{k+1}^2 < l_{k+1}$ given $2d_k^2 < l_k$ and $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$. In the second step we will prove that $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$ given $2d_k^2 < l_k$. Using these two steps and the basic assumption ($k = 11$) we can prove for arbitrary k that $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$.

First step

Given $2d_k^2 < l_k$ and $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$ we find that $2d_{k+1}^2 < l_{k+1}$.

Proof. The first lemma tells us that $d_{k+1}^2 < 2d_k^2$. Therefore we have $2d_{k+1}^2 < d_{k+1}^2 + 2d_k^2 < d_{k+1}^2 + l_k < l_{k+1}$. \square

Second step

Given $2d_k^2 < l_k$ we find that $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$.

Proof. The proof is comparable to the proof above. \square

For $x \in L_k$, it is clear that $x \in L_{k+1}$ as well, while for the numbers $x \in L_{k+1} \setminus L_k$ notice that $l_k + 128 < x \leq l_k + d_{k+1}^2 + 128$. If we use the number d_{k+1}^2 to represent the sum, we find for the rest $y = x - d_{k+1}^2$ that $l_k - d_{k+1}^2 + 128 < y \leq l_k + 128$. Using Lemma 1 again we have $l_k - d_{k+1}^2 + 128 > l_k - 2d_k^2 + 128 > 128$. Therefore $y \in L_k$.

We can rewrite the results $l_{k+1} = l_k + d_{k+1}^2$ as

$$l_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - 128,$$

for $k \leq m$, where $d_m = n!/3$.

Opløsningen

In order to complete the proof of Lemma 3 we need to prove that $l_{(m+1)n} = l_{mn} + d_{n!/2}^2$, where $d_{m+1} = n!/2$. Notice that $\frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}{49}$. Therefore for arbitrary $x \in L_{(m+1)n}$, we find either $x \in L_{mn}$ or $x - \frac{1}{4}n!^2 \in L_{mn}$. Now we find

$$l_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - 128,$$

for $k \leq m + 1$, where $d_{m+1} = n!/2$. This concludes the proof of this lemma. □

Theorem. For every $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $0 < q < \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1$, a finite subset $K \in \mathbf{N}$ exists, such that

$$\sum_{k \in K} k^{-2} = q$$

Proof. Let $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $0 < q < \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1$. We can find an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ fulfilling each of the three following properties by choosing n sufficiently large. Moreover each of these properties is monotonic, meaning that if it is true for some n_0 , it will be true for all $n > n_0$

- $n \geq 11$,
- If $q = a/b$, where a and b have no common divisors, then b divides $n!^2$,
- If $q = x/(n!)^2$, then n is chosen such that $128 < x < \sigma_2(n!) - (n!)^2 - 128$

To prove the existence of 3) notice that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_2(n!) - (n!)^2 - 128}{n!^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1.$$

Now Lemma 3 may be applied, showing that x can be represented as sum of squares of different divisors of $n!$. This gives us the sought for representation of q . □

Remark

A solution of the Star Problem turns out to have been published in Ron Graham's 'On Finite Sums of Unit Fractions', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (14), 1964, pp. 193–207. The basic ideas behind the two solutions are similar. Graham starts with a multiplicative set S , which in the Star Problem is the set of squares. Graham then defines $P(S)$, the set of sums of elements of S . Using the notation S^{-1} for the set of inverses of the elements of S , Graham shows that if $P(S)$ contains all positive integers, up to a finite number, $|S|$ is finite, and s_{n+1}/s_n is bounded, then $\frac{p}{q} \in P(S^{-1})$ whenever $q|s$ for some $s \in S$. Moreover, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $s \in P(S^{-1})$ such that $s - \frac{p}{q} < \epsilon$.

